

# Parton content of the real photon: astrophysical implications

I. Alikhanov

Institute for Nuclear Research of Russian Academy of Science, 60th October Anniversary pr. 7a, Moscow 117312, Russia

Received: 23 April 2008 / Revised: 5 June 2008 / Published online: 15 August 2008  
© Springer-Verlag / Società Italiana di Fisica 2008

**Abstract** The parton model provides a relatively explicit and transparent technique for the description of high energy particle interactions. Thus, cross sections of two processes, which may have non-trivial astrophysical implications, are calculated by using the parton language. The first one is inclusive on-shell  $W^+$  boson production in the  $\nu_e\gamma$  reaction. The second one is deep inelastic neutrino–photon scattering.

**PACS** 13.15.+g · 13.60.Hb · 95.85.Ry

## 1 Introduction

The parton model, according to which hadrons consist of quarks, antiquarks and gluons (partons), bound together in different ways, has been very successful in reproducing experiment. This provides a relatively explicit and transparent technique for the description of high energy particle interactions. The distributions of partons inside hadrons are characterized by the structure functions satisfying the Dokshitzer–Gribov–Lipatov–Altarelli–Parisi (DGLAP) equation [1–3] or ones that are basically similar. Such a function is the probability density of finding a parton in a hadron carrying a fraction of the total hadron’s momentum. Numerical solutions of the equation are in remarkable agreement with experimental measurements, especially for the nucleon [4].

Photons being involved in high energy interactions are also able to manifest hadronic structure. One can intuitively comprehend this, since the photon directly couples to quarks and therefore may split into quark–antiquark pairs. The parton contributions in two-photon processes and some crucial peculiarities of the kinematic behavior of the photon structure function have been described by Walsh and Zerwas [5]. The first work in studying quantum-chromodynamic corrections to the naive pointlike structure of the photon belongs to Witten [6]. This problem was also studied in [7–9]. Introducing the evolution equations, similar to the DGLAP one, for

photons as well as the properties of the corresponding solutions were under scrutiny, for instance, in a series of papers by Glük, Reya, Grassie and Vogt [10–12]. A formulation of high energy  $\gamma p$  interactions taking into account the hadronic properties of the photon was proposed in [13].


Today, we possess convincing experimental evidence for the fact that the real photon has a non-trivial parton structure [14].

On the other hand, the cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons may play an important role in the formation of cosmic rays (CR). One of the brightest representatives is the Greisen–Zatsepin–Kuzmin (GZK) limit on the energy of CR [15, 16]. For example, protons of energies of over about  $10^{20}$  eV would be decelerated by interaction with the CMB photons, mostly due to resonant pion production,  $p\gamma \rightarrow \Delta^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0 (n\pi^+)$ . Other interesting processes, the  $\nu\gamma$  reactions and their possible astrophysical implications, were extensively discussed in the literature (see, e.g., [17–24] and the references cited therein). In this context, to invoke the parton content of a real photon could be convenient for calculations of the probabilities of such processes. Here, we attempt to show the example of  $W^+$  boson production in  $\nu\gamma$  scattering, which may have important consequences for astrophysics [17]. Studying this reaction could also provide a test of universality of the parton distribution function of the photon.

## 2 Neutrino–photon reactions within parton model

Let us first consider inclusive on-shell  $W^+$  boson production in the reaction  $\nu_e\gamma \rightarrow W^+X$  at the resonance region using the parton language. We will view it from the center-of-mass (CMS) frame of the  $\nu_e\gamma$  system. Here, for example, a substantial fraction of CMB photons will be of energies of about  $(\varepsilon E_\nu^{\text{lab}})^{1/2}$ , where  $E_\nu^{\text{lab}}$  is the neutrino energy in the laboratory frame defined as the frame in which the CMB is isotropic,  $\varepsilon$  is the CMB photon energy (typical value  $\varepsilon \sim 10^{-3}$  eV [24]). This reaction is standardly factorized into two subprocesses: the emission of a positron by

e-mail: ialspbu@gmail.com



**Fig. 1** Diagrams illustrating **a** the inclusive reaction  $\nu_e \gamma \rightarrow W^+ X$ . Neutrino annihilates with positron emitted by the photon into on-shell  $W^+$ ; **b** charged current neutrino scattering off quarks (antiquarks) coming from the photon. In this paper we take into account only the  $u$  and  $d$  quarks (antiquarks) and neglect Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa mixing

the photon and annihilation of the neutrino with the positron into  $W^+$  (see Fig. 1a). Then the corresponding cross section may be written as

$$\sigma(s) = \int_0^1 \hat{\sigma}(xs) f_\gamma^e(x, s) dx; \quad (1)$$

here  $s$  is the total CMS energy squared ( $s \simeq 4\varepsilon E_\nu^{\text{lab}}$ ),  $f_\gamma^e(x, s)$  is the probability density function to find the positron in the photon carrying the fraction  $x$  of total photon's momentum, and  $\hat{\sigma}(xs)$  is the cross section of the annihilation subprocess. Note that we explicitly write the  $s$  dependence of the function instead of the more traditional  $Q^2$  one (4-momentum transfer squared), since we deal with an  $s$ -channel subprocess.

In the resonance region  $\hat{\sigma}(xs)$  is given by the Breit–Wigner formula [25]

$$\hat{\sigma}(xs) = 12\pi \frac{\Gamma_i \Gamma}{(xs - m_W^2)^2 + m_W^2 \Gamma^2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $m_W$  is the mass of the  $W^+$  boson,  $\Gamma_i$  is the partial width of the initial channel (the partial width for the decay  $W^+ \rightarrow \nu_e e^+$ ), and  $\Gamma$  is the total decay width of  $W^+$ . In the leading order one can find that [26]

$$\Gamma_i = \frac{G_F m_W^3}{6\pi\sqrt{2}}, \quad \Gamma = 9\Gamma_i, \quad (3)$$

where  $G_F$  is Fermi's constant.

To determine the function  $f_\gamma^e(x, s)$ , we adopt the formalism given in [12]. It is fair to expect  $f_\gamma^e(x, s)$  to satisfy, up to factors associated with the quark colors and fractional electric charges, the same evolution equation as the quark distributions in the photon do, provided the gluons are excluded and one takes into account only the electromagnetic interaction. Then in the leading order we write the following equation for the positron distribution [12]:

$$\frac{d f_\gamma^e(x, Q^2)}{d \ln Q^2} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} k^0(x), \quad (4)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the fine structure constant, and  $k^0(x) = 2[x^2 + (1-x)^2]$  [27, 28]. Replacing in (4)  $Q^2$  by  $s$ , for the reason explained above, and choosing the electron mass squared  $m_e^2$  as the lower integration limit, one obtains

$$f_\gamma^e(x, s) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} [x^2 + (1-x)^2] \ln \frac{s}{m_e^2}. \quad (5)$$

Note that the latter result is similar, for example, to the one from [29].

Substituting (2) and (5) into (1) and performing the integration, one finally arrives at the cross section

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(s) = & \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha \Gamma^2}{s^3} \left[ 2s + \frac{s^2 - 2m_W^2(s + \Gamma^2 - m_W^2)}{\Gamma m_W} \right. \\ & \times \left( \arctan \frac{s - m_W^2}{\Gamma m_W} + \arctan \frac{m_W}{\Gamma} \right) \\ & \left. + (s - 2m_W^2) \ln \frac{\Gamma^2 m_W^2 + m_W^4}{\Gamma^2 m_W^2 + (s - m_W^2)^2} \right] \ln \frac{s}{m_e^2}. \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

The dependence of the cross section on  $s$  is displayed in Fig. 2 in comparison with calculations of the closely related process  $\nu_e \gamma \rightarrow W^+ e^-$  carried out by Seckel [17]. Here  $m_W \simeq 80.4$  GeV,  $G_F \simeq 1.16 \times 10^{-5}$  GeV $^{-2}$ ,  $\alpha(m_W^2) \simeq 1/128$  [30]. One can see that in the resonance region (6) gives higher values, while with increasing  $s$  both results tend to each other.


Let us turn now to the charged current interaction of the neutrino with the quark content of the photon (see Fig. 1b). The corresponding cross section can be obtained in the same way as it is done for neutrino–proton scattering [25]:

$$\sigma^\nu(s) = \frac{G_F^2 s}{\pi} \left( \frac{m_W^2}{m_W^2 + Q^2} \right)^2 \left( f_\gamma^q(Q^2) + \frac{1}{3} f_\gamma^{\bar{q}}(Q^2) \right), \quad (7)$$

with

$$f_\gamma^{q(\bar{q})}(Q^2) = \int_0^1 x f_\gamma^{q(\bar{q})}(x, Q^2) dx, \quad (8)$$

where  $f_\gamma^{q(\bar{q})}(x, Q^2)$  is the probability density to find quark  $q$  (antiquark  $\bar{q}$ ) in the photon carrying the fraction  $x$  of the to-



**Fig. 2** **a** Dependence of the cross section of the inclusive reaction  $\nu_e\gamma \rightarrow W^+X$  on  $s$  in the resonance region (*solid curve*). The same calculated for the closely related reaction  $\nu_e\gamma \rightarrow W^+e^-$  [17] is shown by the *dashed curve*. **b** Dependence of the cross section of the reaction  $\nu_e\gamma \rightarrow e^-X$  on  $s$  at a few fixed values of  $Q^2$  ( $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$  is indicated by the *solid curve*,  $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$  by the *dashed curve*,  $Q^2 = 15 \text{ GeV}^2$  by the *dotted curve*). Note that the laboratory energy of neutrino  $E_\nu^{\text{lab}} \simeq s/4\varepsilon$  is calculated at  $\varepsilon = 10^{-3} \text{ eV}$

tal photon’s momentum. Taking into account only the densities of the lightest quarks,  $u$  and  $d$ , from [11], we found that

$$f_\gamma^q(Q^2) = e_q^2 \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \left( \ln \frac{Q^2}{m_q^2} - \frac{3}{4} \right); \tag{9}$$

here  $e_q$  and  $m_q$  are the electric charge and mass of the quark  $q$ , respectively (for antiquarks the equation is analogous). Note that (9) is valid in the limit  $m_q^2/Q^2 \ll 1$ . We set  $m_u = m_d = 0.2 \text{ GeV}$  and  $\alpha = 1/137$ . The dependence of the cross section thus determined on  $s$  in the range  $20 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq s \leq 1000 \text{ GeV}^2$  at some values of  $Q^2$  is shown in Fig. 2b.

This reaction may have interesting astrophysical implications because the struck quark may fragment into hadrons. The latter can be highly boosted and on decaying (if unstable) may produce particles with energies exceeding their GZK limit. If it occurs in the vicinity of the Earth the decay products may reach us without significant energy loss, provided the incident quark momentum pointed in the direction of the Earth. A similar idea has been proposed, for example, in [31], when photons would appear beyond the GZK limit from decays of highly boosted  $\pi^0$ , which, in turn, were

the decay products of real  $Z^0$  bosons excited in  $\nu\bar{\nu}$  annihilation (the so-called “Z-burst” mechanism). But there are problems here, mainly associated with the origin of such high energy neutrinos,  $E_\nu^{\text{lab}} \simeq m_Z^2/4\varepsilon$  (see, e.g., [21]). In our case, the neutrino energy required to produce hadrons is smaller than the latter one by about a factor of 400, and the corresponding cross section is also suppressed by a factor  $\alpha G_F$ . Anyway, one may expect that these processes were important for high energy neutrino absorption in the early Universe.

Throughout this paper we implicitly used the assumption that the parton distributions are process-independent, which has been experimentally justified for the nucleon. For example, the functions phenomenologically derived from electron–nucleon and neutrino–nucleon deep inelastic scattering data are close to each other. Using them one can correctly predict the probabilities of inclusive production of  $\mu^+\mu^-$  pairs in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions (Drell–Yan process) [32]. Analogously, the neutrino–photon reactions could provide an instrument for studying the universality of the parton distribution of the photon.

We have discussed only the  $\nu_e\gamma$  interactions. Meanwhile, all the things we said above may be straightforwardly applied to the reactions involving the antineutrino. Likewise, heavier charged leptons can be considered. One may also include neutral current interactions in neutrino–quark scattering. Other processes involving CMB photons can be treated in similar way.

## References

1. V.N. Gribov, L.N. Lipatov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **15**, 438 (1972)
2. G. Altarelli, G. Parisi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **126**, 298 (1977)
3. Y.L. Dokshitzer, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **46**, 641 (1977)
4. ZEUS Collaboration, *Z. Phys. C* **72**, 399 (1996)
5. T.F. Walsh, P. Zerwas, *Phys. Lett. B* **44**, 195 (1973)
6. E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **120**, 189 (1977)
7. R.J. DeWitt et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 2046 (1979)
8. W.A. Bardeen, A.J. Buras, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 166 (1979)
9. D.W. Duke, J.F. Owens, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 2280 (1980)
10. M. Glück, E. Reya, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 2749 (1983)
11. M. Glück, K. Grassie, E. Reya, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 1447 (1984)
12. M. Glück, E. Reya, A. Vogt, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 3986 (1992)
13. G.A. Schuler, T. Sjöstrand, *Phys. Lett. B* **300**, 169 (1993)
14. J. Cvach, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **79**, 501 (1999)
15. K. Greisen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **16**, 748 (1966)
16. G.T. Zatsepin, V.A. Kuzmin, *Sov. Phys. JETP Lett.* **4**, 78 (1966)
17. D. Seckel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 900 (1998)
18. A. Abbasabadi et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 013012 (1999)
19. A. Abada, J. Matias, R. Pittau, *Nucl. Phys. B* **543**, 255 (1999)
20. E. Masso, F. Rota, *Phys. Lett. B* **488**, 326 (2000)
21. E.V. Bugaev, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **20**, 6909 (2005)
22. M. Haghghat, M.M. Etefaghi, M. Zeinali, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 013007 (2006)
23. T.K. Gaisser, F. Halzen, T. Stanev, *Phys. Rep.* **258**, 173 (1995)
24. P. Bhattacharjee, G. Sigl, *Phys. Rep.* **327**, 109 (2000)
25. B. Povh et al., *Particles and Nuclei. An Introduction to the Physical Concepts*, 5th edn. (Springer, Berlin, 2006)

26. L.N. Lipatov, Standard model of elementary particle interactions. Lectures given at Saint Petersburg State University (2006)
27. W. Furmanski, R. Petronzio, Phys. Lett. B **97**, 437 (1980)
28. E.G. Floratos, C. Kounnas, R. Lacaze, Nucl. Phys. B **192**, 417 (1981)
29. M.-S. Chen, P. Zerwas, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 187 (1975)
30. W.-M. Yao et al., Particle Data Group, J. Phys. G **33**, 1 (2006)
31. T.J. Weiler, Astropart. Phys. **11**, 303 (1999)
32. F.E. Close, *An Introduction to Quarks and Partons* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1979)